

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,409		02/12/2004	Gregory G. Griese	117P45USD1	5789
23322	7590	12/30/2005		EXAMINER	
IPLM GRO	•		DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES		
	POST OFFICE BOX 18455 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418				PAPER NUMBER
MININEARC	LIO, MI	35410		3744	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/777,409	GRIESE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William C. Doerrler	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-12-04,12-7-04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/777,409

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4 "said solid product" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haertle.

Haertle discloses an adhesive mounting plate 7 which is attached with Velcro 6 to a solid fabric softening device 8. The device forms a dispenser for dispensing fabric conditioner in a dryer.

Claims 1-3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mizuno '145.

Mizuno '145 shows a fastening plate 13 which fastens to a surface of a dryer and uses Velcro 11 to fasten to a fabric softener solid 7.

Claims 1-3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mizuno et al '685.

Mizuno et al '685 show an attaching plate 13 which uses velcro to removably fasten to a solid 10 fabric softener.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Haertle or Mizuno '145 in view of Clothier et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,409

Art Unit: 3744

Haertle and Minuno '145 disclose applicants' basic inventive concept, a fabric softening device which removable fastens to an attaching plate which fastens to the inside of the dryer, substantially as claimed with the exception using grooves, holes and plugs or mating lips to fasten the device. Clothier et al show a dome shaped (figs 1-3) fabric softening solid which is attached to a drier using Velcro, suction cups, or knobs, hooks or strings (col. 2 lines 47-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of Clothier et al to modify te dispenser of Haertle or Mizuno by using alternate removable fastening means to ensure a secure hold on the product in a variety of conditions and to make the device as cheaply as possible while still forming a tight connection. In regard to claim 9, Official Notice is taken that mating lips are well known in the solids fastening art and as such would have been an obvious modification to produce a secure, yet easily removed connection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Miller and Davis are added to the 892 since the references have been crossed through in the IDS which is a resubmission of the earlier 892, to avoid confusion during printing if the current application becomes a patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD